# Wake structures and vortex-induced vibrations of a long flexible cylinder-Part 2: Drag coefficients and vortex modes 

F.J. Huera-Huarte ${ }^{\text {a,* }}$, P.W. Bearman ${ }^{\text {b }}$<br>${ }^{\text {a }}$ Department of Mechanical Engineering, Universitat Rovira i Virgili, 43007 Tarragona, Spain<br>${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Department of Aeronautics, Imperial College London, SW7 2 BY London, UK

Received 30 September 2008; accepted 24 March 2009
Available online 27 May 2009


#### Abstract

Drag coefficients and vortex structures in the wake of a vertical long flexible cylinder vibrating at low mode numbers are presented in this paper. A model with an external diameter of 16 mm and a total length of 1.5 m giving an aspect ratio of about 94 was used to perform more than 100 runs in which Reynolds numbers ranged between 1200 and 12000 . Only the lower $40 \%$ of its length was exposed to the water current in the flume and applied top tensions varied from 15 to 110 N giving fundamental natural frequencies in the range from 3 to 7.1 Hz . Reduced velocities based on the fundamental natural frequency up to 16 were reached. The mass ratio was 1.8 and the combined mass-damping parameter about 0.05 . The largest drag coefficients were found related to the largest $x-y$ synchronised motions. Digital particle image velocimetry was used to investigate the vortex structures in the wake of the cylinder model. Two modes of vortex shedding were observed, depending on the response branch and the position along the length of the model at which the interrogations were performed.
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## 1. Introduction

A map of vortex modes in the wake of a 1 degree of freedom (dof) circular cylinder was first introduced by Williamson and Roshko (1988). It was obtained from experiments in which their model was forced to oscillate with a sinusoidal trajectory with different amplitudes and wavelenghts. They related the motion of the model with the vortical patterns observed in its wake. In the lock-in region they observed several periodic vortex modes consisting of two single vortices per cycle ( 2 S mode), two pairs of vortices per cycle (2P mode) and combinations of those with one single vortex on one side of the wake and two on the other ( $\mathrm{P}+\mathrm{S}$ mode). Khalak and Williamson (1999) showed how in free vibration 1-dof experiments the 2 S mode was only observed in the initial branch of response and the 2 P mode was observed in the upper and the lower branches inside the lock-in region.

Brika and Laneville (1993) carried out an experiment in a wind tunnel with a long flexible cylinder with low damping. The aspect ratio $(L / D)$ of their model was about 53 . Previous experiments with high mass ratio cylinders had not shown

[^0]the existence of the upper branch, a fact confirmed in Laneville's experiments, where only the initial and the lower branches of response appeared. They also investigated the vortex modes in the wake, finding the same patterns as in low mass ratio cases: 2 S in the initial branch and 2 P in the lower branch.

Jeon and Gharib (2001) performed forced vibration experiments in a water tunnel. They arranged the motion of the cylinder in their test section to perform a figure-eight and they studied the wake structures and the forces, from the velocity fields obtained by using a PIV system. They found that even for very small in-line amplitudes the effect of adding this streamwise motion was not negligible and observed that the 2 P mode disappeared. They associated this finding to a change in the phase of the lift force related to a phase change in the shedding of vortices.

Jauvtis and Williamson (2003) set up an experiment using a 2-dof rigid cylinder with mass ratios up to 25. The rig was designed to have the same structural parameters in both directions, in-line and cross-flow. Their data showed how the dynamic response of this 2-dof cylinder was practically identical to that of one with only transverse motion. The same vortex modes, the 2 S in the initial branch and the 2 P in the upper and lower branches were observed. Their experiment also confirmed data previously reported by Wooton et al. (1974) and King et al. (1973), in which two in-line response branches were reported, in the first $\left(V_{r}<1 / 2 S\right)$ vibrations were caused by the symmetric shedding of vortices, and in the second $\left(V_{r} \simeq 1 / 2 S\right)$ the flow visualisation showed asymmetric shedding. The study by Jauvtis and Williamson (2003) showed an absence of cross-flow motion in this first region but in the second one cross-flow motion was observed. When in-line motion was permitted, the in-line amplitudes were considerably smaller than those in the cross-flow direction. The initial and the lower branches showed no in-line motion, whilst in the upper branch the in-line oscillation were only 0.04 diameters, which is about $5 \%$ of the cross-flow motion. In their subsequent study Jauvtis and Williamson (2004) investigated the response of even lower mass ratio ( $m^{*}<6$ ) cylinders, free to move in-line and transverse to the flow. They reported very important changes in amplitudes, frequency response and vortex dynamics in the wake of their model. The super-upper branch was defined, characterised by what they called the 2 T mode (two triplets of vortices at each side per cycle) with transverse amplitudes higher than 1.5 diameters. In combination with these large amplitude transverse motions, they show quite large streamwise motions reaching 0.3 diameters.

Laneville (2006) considered the importance of the in-line motion on the overall response of a long flexible cylinder to reconcile his previous results with other researchers findings. He studied not only the importance of the in-line motion and its effects on the cross-flow response but also the vortex patterns in the wake of his model in a wind tunnel. He defined a parameter $\alpha$ to account for this fact, which basically indicates the plane of vibration with respect to the crossflow direction. He comments on the existence of the 2 P mode only for values of $\alpha$ lower than $30^{\circ}$. At higher values the lower branch disappears as does the 2 P mode, resulting in a response in which only the 2 S pattern can be seen.

A recent experimental work showing amplitude and frequency response data for a two degree of freedom rigid cylinder with equal mass ratio and natural frequency in both directions, has been published by Sanchis et al. (2008). The authors present a set of data in which a low aspect ratio cylinder, with a mass ratio near 1 and a comparatively large structural damping ( $4.7 \%$ of the critical), is allowed to vibrate in a water channel, whilst being supported by an apparatus consisting of a set of three torsion springs at each end producing the restoring force. Their set-up combined a low mass ratio with a relatively high damping, resulting in a combined mass-damping parameter comparable with previous low-mass-damping experiments. For values of reduced velocity lower than 6.5 , they showed evidence of the initial branch of response with cross-flow amplitudes of almost one diameter combined with in-line amplitudes of 0.3 diameters, generating 2 S vortex patterns and eight-shaped $x-y$ trajectories.

## 2. Experimental details

The experiments were performed in a water channel at the Department of Aeronautics of Imperial College London. It has a cross-section of $0.6 \times 0.75 \mathrm{~m}$ with a total length exceeding 8 m . A more detailed description of the model, the facilities and the instrumentation used in the experiments appear in Huera-Huarte and Bearman (2009). The maximum water height that could be established was up to about 0.65 m , and the pumping system was able to generate currents up to $0.75 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ at the maximum water height. The model had the same damping, mass and natural frequencies in both directions, in-line and cross-flow and the set-up appears in Figs. 1(a) and (b). It consisted of a supporting structure and a long flexible circular cylinder instrumented with strain gauges along its length. The 1.5 m long circular cylinder was made of a skeleton structure consisting of a 6 mm diameter aluminium core with 15 mm diameter aluminium diaphragms attached to it. In order to provide an external smooth surface, the skeleton was covered with a transparent flexible PVC skin with an external diameter of 16 mm . A very stiff aluminium structure was designed to place the models inside the uniform current (Fig. 1(a)) and to allow changes in the applied top tension through a spring system. Five different top tensions, $15,35,60,85$ and 110 N were tested. Their corresponding fundamental natural frequencies


Fig. 1. Layout of the experiment: (a) side view and (b) top view.

Table 1
Main parameters.

| Experiment parameters |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| External diameter | $D$ | m |
| Length | $L$ | m |
| Aspect ratio | $L / D$ | - |
| Submerged length | $L_{s}$ | m |
| Flexural stiffness | $E I$ | $\mathrm{Nm}^{2}$ |
| Axial stiffness | $E A$ | MN |
| Top tension | $T_{t}$ | N |
| Flow speed | $V$ | $\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Reynolds number | Re | - |
| Mass | $m$ | $\mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}$ |
| Submerged weight | $w_{s}$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{m}$ |
| Mass ratio | $m^{*}$ | - |
| Fund. natural freq. | $f_{1}$ | Hz |
| Damping ratio | $\zeta$ | $\% / 0 \zeta_{c}$ |

in water are shown in last column of Table 2. Damping ratios were found to be in the range from $1.45 \%$ to $1.95 \%$, with a mean value of $\zeta=1.77 \%$. Once the apparatus was installed in the water flume, the lower $40 \%$ of its length was exposed to the uniform current produced in the flume. For each of the top tensions, the flow speed was varied between 0.1 and $0.75 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ in increments of $0.05 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$, producing a uniform velocity profile yielding to Reynolds numbers varying from about 1400 to 12000 . Each run was started from still water, allowing time enough (a total of 60 s per run) to avoid the initial flow transient. Table 1 shows the main parameters of the model and the experimental arrangement.

Digital particle image velocimetry (DPIV) was used to investigate the flow structures in the wake of the cylinder. The DPIV interrogations were carried out at two different heights along the axis of the model for each tension and for each flow speed, see Fig. 1. The laser sheet, perpendicular to the axis of the model, was initially set at 320 mm from the bottom of the water flume and later on at 410 mm , in order to investigate the spanwise variation of the vortex structures.

## 3. Results

Drag coefficients are shown for the whole set of data and DPIV results for some representative cases are discussed in this section. The first part of this paper (Huera-Huarte and Bearman, 2009) contains a detailed study of the dynamic response of the cylinder model. The data is presented according to the different sets of applied top tensions, as specified in the summary of Table 2. A total of more than 100 tests were carried out, producing a large set of data. Amplitudes in all the figures appear always non-dimensionalised by the diameter and the reduced velocity in all the figures is based on the fundamental natural frequency of the cylinder model in water $V_{1}=V / f_{1} D$.

### 3.1. Drag coefficients

A method based on the use of experimental displacement data combined with a linear static analysis finite element code was found to be successful in calculating force coefficients. Comparisons between computed drag coefficients with those measured independently through load cells were in close agreement as shown previously in Huera-Huarte (2006). Moreover, an extension of this finite element code allowed the calculation of the instantaneous hydrodynamic forces in a vertical long flexible cylinder model (Huera-Huarte et al., 2006). A similar method has been used here resulting in the drag coefficients presented in Fig. 2. A detailed description of the method appears also in Huera-Huarte (2009) but in that work it was applied to a tandem cylinder configuration experiment. For the present calculations, the changes in flexural stiffness $(E I)$ with top tension $\left(T_{t}\right)$ have been taken into account as it was noticed that they were not negligible. An estimate of these variations can be obtained by measuring the deflection at the mid-point of the model when point loads are applied at its mid-point. If the resulting deformed shape is assumed to be sinusoidal, one can derive from the Euler-Bernoulli beam theory, an equation that estimates the modified flexural stiffness $\left(E I^{*}\right)$ as a function of the top

Table 2
Summary of tests.

| Initial top tension $T_{t}(\mathrm{~N})$ | Symbol | Number of runs | $f_{1}(\mathrm{~Hz})$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 15 | $\square$ | 19 | 3.0 |
| 35 | $\diamond$ | 23 | 4.5 |
| 60 | $*$ | 20 | 5.6 |
| 85 | + | 21 | 6.5 |
| 110 | $\circ$ | 20 | 7.1 |

First column indicates the initial top tension applied to the model, the second column indicates the symbol used in all the figures and the third indicates the number of runs conducted at each top tension.


Fig. 2. The upper plot shows the drag coefficients as a function of the reduced velocity. The lower plot shows drag coefficients normalised to the drag coefficient of a stationary cylinder at the same Re against the maximum non-dimensional cross-flow amplitude on the left-hand side and against the in-line amplitude on the right.
tension $\left(T_{t}\right)$, the load $(W)$ and the deformation measured $\left(x_{m}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
E I^{*}=\frac{-L^{2}}{\pi^{2}}\left[\frac{W L^{2}}{\pi^{2} x_{m}}+T_{t}\right] \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The time evolution of the forces has not been computed as this work is only concerned with the mean drag coefficients acting on the cylinder model. A mean deflection very similar to the one obtained from the instantaneous measured inline displacements can be obtained by applying a uniform force distribution $f_{x}(z)$ along the submerged part of the
model $\left(L_{s}\right)$. The integral of this force in $z$ gives the total force acting on the cylinder,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{x}=\int_{0}^{L} f_{x}(z) d z \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

From this force, $C_{d}$ can be easily obtained as

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{d}=\frac{F_{x}}{\frac{1}{2} \rho V^{2} L_{s}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using an initial value of $C_{d}$ equal to the expected drag coefficient for a stationary cylinder at the same Re, one can construct an initially guessed uniform force distribution $f_{x}(z)$. By inputting this distribution to the finite element code mentioned previously, the corresponding deflection can be computed and compared to the experimental one obtained from the experiments, in an iterative process. After each iteration, if the mean deflections are different, the drag coefficient is updated. The comparison criterion stops the update process of the $C_{d}$ when the area enclosed by the deflections of both curves and the $z$ axis differ less than a certain percentage value, which in this case was set to $0.1 \%$.

In the upper plot of Fig. 2 the drag coefficients are shown as a function of the reduced velocity. Values between 1 and 3 are observed with increasing drag coefficient in the initial and upper branches. The highest values are found where the largest cross-flow and in-line responses are seen. On the other hand, in the lower branch the drag coefficients rapidly decrease to values typical of stationary cylinders at these Reynolds numbers. Similar values of drag coefficient and even higher have been reported in past experiments such as Vandiver (1983), de Wilde and Huijsmans (2004) and HueraHuarte et al. (2006). The same coefficients but normalised to the drag coefficient of a stationary cylinder at the same Reynolds number ( $C_{d_{0}}$ ) are shown in the lower left corner plot as a function of the maximum cross-flow amplitudes $\left(y_{M} / D\right)$, and in the lower right corner plot versus the maximum in-line amplitudes $\left(x_{M} / D\right)$. The amplification of the force coefficient with both the in-line and cross-flow amplitude appears evident, as previously reported in other investigations.

### 3.2. Wake structures

The vortex patterns in the wake of freely vibrating 1-dof or 2-dof rigid cylinders have been extensively studied in the past by several researchers, including Jauvtis and Williamson (2004) and Flemming and Williamson (2005). It is also well know that for cylinders with variable geometry such as tapered cylinders, the wake patterns can change considerably at different positions along their length (Techet et al., 1998). Flemming and Williamson (2005) found in their study with a pivoted cylinder that wake patterns change along the length of the model finding similarities with the vortex dynamics of tapered bodies as in Techet et al. (1998). They suggested that in vibrations of flexible bodies, the same behaviour should be expected due to the variation in amplitude with distance between nodes. Hence, in experiments such as the one presented here one should find different vortex dynamics at different positions along the axis of the cylinder because the amplitudes vary according to the dominant structural mode shape of the motion. This is the reason why it was decided to carry out DPIV interrogations at two positions below the free surface.

DPIV images were obtained at two planes perpendicular to the axis of the model at two different heights from the bottom of the flume, $z=320$ and 410 mm . These DPIV measurements were performed for three of the five sets of top tension that appear in Table 2, i.e. 15,60 and 110 N. For each top tension the flow speed was increased from 0.1 to $0.7 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ with increments of 0.1 . A total of more than 40 tests were carried out at each horizontal plane, producing more than 80 experiments at different flow speeds and top tensions. The aim was to investigate the wake patterns for all the reduced velocities along the submerged part of the riser model. In this section, six representative cases have been chosen inside the different response branches to illustrate the vortex dynamics in the wake of the model. Fig. 3 shows the crossflow and in-line non-dimensional amplitudes as presented in Huera-Huarte and Bearman (2009). The solid circles in that figure indicate each one of the six cases for which DPIV data is shown in the figures in this section.

Figs. 4, 6, 8, 10, 12 and 14 show the DPIV interrogations at $z=320 \mathrm{~mm}$ and Figs. 5, 7, 9, 11, 13 and 15 those at $z=410 \mathrm{~mm}$. In these figures the flow goes downwards and vorticity plots are presented every $\frac{1}{15}$ th of a second from left to right in each row, starting in the upper left corner plot. The instantaneous position of the cylinder is shown by its cross section in white. Each plot covers an area of the wake of the cylinder from $y=-4 D$ on the left side of the plot to $y=4 D$ on the right-hand side and from $x=0$ on the top margin (rest position) of the plot, to $x=10 D$ on the lower one. The horizontal dashed line is at $x=5 D$ from the top margin and the three vertical lines are located at $y=-1 D$, $y=0$ (rest position) and $y=1 D$. The DPIV data obtained at $z=320 \mathrm{~mm}$ suggests that the main vortex structure is the classical vortex street, with two single vortices per cycle or 2 S , independently of the responding branch, 2 S is found in the initial, the upper, the lower and in the built up of the second mode branches as can be clearly seen from Figs. 4, 6, 8,


Fig. 3. Non-dimensional maximum cross-flow and in-line amplitudes as appeared in Huera-Huarte and Bearman (2009). Solid grey circles indicate the cases for which DPIV is shown here.

Table 3
Summary table showing the vortex modes of the six representative cases.

| Response <br> branch | $T_{t}(\mathrm{~N})$ | $V(\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s})$ | $V_{1}$ | $\operatorname{Re}$ | $z=320(\mathrm{~mm})$ | Figure number <br> $(z=320 \mathrm{~mm})$ | $z=410(\mathrm{~mm})$ | Figure number <br> $(z=410 \mathrm{~mm})$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Initial | 110 | 0.5 | 4.2 | 7000 | 2 S | 4 | 2 S | 5 |
| Initial | 60 | 0.4 | 4.56 | 5600 | 2 S | 6 | 2 S | 7 |
| Upper | 110 | 0.65 | 5.45 | 9100 | 2 S | 8 | $2 \mathrm{~S} / 2 \mathrm{P}$ <br> (intermittent) | $2 \mathrm{~S} / 2 \mathrm{P}$ |
| Lower | 60 | 0.6 | 6.84 | 8400 | 2 S | 10 | (intermittent) | 11 |
| Lower | 60 | 0.7 | 7.98 | 9800 | 2 S | 12 | (intermittent) | 13 |
| Onset 2nd | 15 | 0.7 | 14.92 | 9800 | 2 S | 2 S |  |  |

10, 12 and 14. In the higher plane interrogated, the structures are no longer the same and in general they are more complex. For tests falling inside the initial (Figs. 5 and 7) and the onset of the second mode branch (Fig. 15) the structures are clearly 2 S , but for the cases falling inside the upper and the lower branches the structures resemble during certain instants of the run, a 2 P mode with two vortex pairs per cycle, as can be seen in Figs. 9, 11 and 13. The 2P mode in the upper and lower branches was not sustained during the whole duration of the runs and it only appeared intermittently, changing from 2 P to 2 S and vice versa. What is completely clear is that the structures are far more complex as the mid-point of the cylinder model is approached. Table 3 summarises the vortex modes found together with the corresponding figure numbers. The table also lists the values of the top tension, flow speed, reduced velocity and Reynolds number for each of the DPIV cases (Figs. 4-15).


Fig. 4. Initial branch vorticity contours from DPIV at $z=320 \mathrm{~mm} . V=0.50 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Re}=7000, T_{t}=110 \mathrm{~N}$ and $V_{1}=4.2$.


Fig. 5. Initial branch vorticity contours from DPIV at $z=410 \mathrm{~mm} . V=0.50 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}, \operatorname{Re}=7000, T_{t}=110 \mathrm{~N}$ and $V_{1}=4.2$.

## 4. Discussion

The force coefficients shown in Fig. 2 appear compared in Fig. 16 against data obtained in 2-dof motion studies by Jauvtis and Williamson (2004), against data showing 1-dof motion by Khalak and Williamson (1997) for two different mass ratios, and against data presented by Chaplin et al. (2005) with high aspect ratio multi-mode experiments. The peak values shown by Jauvtis and Williamson (2004) are in very good agreement with the ones presented here, with


Fig. 6. Initial branch vorticity contours from DPIV at $z=320 \mathrm{~mm} . V=0.40 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}, \operatorname{Re}=5600, T_{t}=60 \mathrm{~N}$ and $V_{1}=4.56$.


Fig. 7. Initial branch vorticity contours from DPIV at $z=410 \mathrm{~mm} . V=0.40 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}, \operatorname{Re}=5600, T_{t}=60 \mathrm{~N}$ and $V_{1}=4.56$.
values around 3. There is also agreement in the values obtained in the lower branch. However, the 1-dof data from $y$ only motion, shows values which are $25 \%$ larger. Force coefficients experienced by the very high aspect ratio cylinder from the Delta Flume experiment, reached values about 2.5 inside clear lock-in branches. The amplification of the drag


Fig. 8. Upper branch vorticity contours from DPIV at $z=320 \mathrm{~mm} . V=0.65 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}, \operatorname{Re}=9100, T_{t}=110 \mathrm{~N}$ and $V_{1}=5.45$.


Fig. 9. Upper branch vorticity contours from DPIV at $z=410 \mathrm{~mm} . V=0.65 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}, \operatorname{Re}=9100, T_{t}=110 \mathrm{~N}$ and $V_{1}=5.45$.
coefficient is caused by responses under lock-in conditions. This fact was already shown in Fig. 2 where the drag coefficients were plotted against the maximum cross-flow and in-line displacements.

In Fig. 17 drag coefficients are shown plotted against the temporal root mean square (r.m.s.) of the spatial (spanwise) standard deviation of the cross-flow $\left(\sigma_{y} / D\right)$ and in-line motions $\left(\sigma_{x} / D\right)$ in order to compare with the data presented by


Fig. 10. Lower branch vorticity contours from DPIV at $z=320 \mathrm{~mm} . V=0.60 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Re}=8400, T_{t}=60 \mathrm{~N}$ and $V_{1}=6.84$.


Fig. 11. Lower branch vorticity contours from DPIV at $z=410 \mathrm{~mm} . V=0.60 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}, \operatorname{Re}=8400, T_{t}=60 \mathrm{~N}$ and $V_{1}=6.84$.

Huera-Huarte (2006). Standard deviations are calculated using

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{a}=\sqrt{\frac{1}{s} \sum_{i=1}^{s}\left[\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N}\left(a_{j i}(z, t)-\bar{a}\right)^{2}\right]} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$



Fig. 12. Lower branch vorticity contours from DPIV at $z=320 \mathrm{~mm} . V=0.70 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}, \operatorname{Re}=9800, T_{t}=60 \mathrm{~N}$ and $V_{1}=7.98$.


Fig. 13. Lower branch vorticity contours from DPIV at $z=410 \mathrm{~mm}$. $V=0.70 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Re}=9800, T_{t}=60 \mathrm{~N}$ and $V_{1}=7.98$.
where $a_{j i}$ can either be the in-line $(x)$ or the cross-flow $(y)$ displacement at the $j$ position along the length of the model at the time $i$ of the run. $\bar{a}$ is the time mean of $a, N$ is the number of measuring stations and $s$ is the number of samples of the time window selected for the calculation. Dashed lines are the best fit (Eqs. (5) and (6)) to the data as proposed in


Fig. 14. Onset 2 nd branch vorticity contours from DPIV at $z=320 \mathrm{~mm} . V=0.70 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}, \operatorname{Re}=9800, T_{t}=15 \mathrm{~N}$ and $V_{1}=14.92$.


Fig. 15. Onset 2 nd branch vorticity contours from DPIV at $z=410 \mathrm{~mm}$. $V=0.70 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Re}=9800, T_{t}=15 \mathrm{~N}$ and $V_{1}=14.92$.
Huera-Huarte (2006). These fits were found to be in close agreement with other researchers' findings (Vandiver, 1983):

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{C_{d}}{C_{d_{o}}}=1+3.6 \frac{\sigma_{y}}{D}-1.9\left(\frac{\sigma_{y}}{D}\right)^{2}  \tag{5}\\
& \frac{C_{d}}{C_{d_{o}}}=1+14.7 \frac{\sigma_{x}}{D}-40.8\left(\frac{\sigma_{x}}{D}\right)^{2} \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$



Fig. 16. Mean drag coefficients. Present work data ( $\square, \diamond, *,+, \circ$ ); •, modes 1 and 2 (Huera-Huarte et al., 2006); $\Delta, m^{*}=2.6 x-y$ motion (Jauvtis and Williamson, 2004); $\triangleleft, m^{*}=3.3$ (Khalak and Williamson, 1997); $\triangleright, m^{*}=10.1$ (Khalak and Williamson, 1997).

Solid lines in Fig. 17 are those given by Eqs. (7) and (8). They are the best fit proposed for all the data, the one coming from the Delta Flume experiment and the data obtained from the present work:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{C_{d}}{C_{d_{o}}}=1+1.6\left(\frac{\sigma_{y}}{D}\right)^{0.4}  \tag{7}\\
& \frac{C_{d}}{C_{d_{o}}}=1+2\left(\frac{\sigma_{x}}{D}\right)^{0.28} \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

## 5. Conclusions

Drag coefficients are well above those expected for stationary cylinders at the same Reynolds numbers, reaching values up to 3 . There is an obvious amplification of drag with increasing $x-y$ response in the initial and the upper branches with increasing reduced velocities. As the $x-y$ synchronised response starts to reduce in amplitude and synchronisation, the drag also reduces leading to values similar to those of stationary cylinders at the same Re. Two empirical relations showing the dependence of the drag with the $x-y$ motion have been proposed.

The vortex modes in the wake of the oscillating cylinder have been found to be dependent on the amplitude distribution along the length of the model. This fact has been confirmed by other researchers in pivoted cylinder experiments (Flemming and Williamson, 2005) and with tapered cylinder geometries (Techet et al., 1998). At the lowest plane in which DPIV interrogations were performed, near the bottom end of the model where amplitudes were small, the 2 S mode with two vortices per cycle was observed in all the response branches. In a plane located at a higher distance from the bottom end of the model showing larger amplitudes, the 2 S mode was also the dominant one and it was observed in the initial and in the onset of the second mode branches, whilst the 2 P mode appeared and vanished intermittently in the upper and lower branches, related to the largest $x-y$ synchronised motions and drag coefficients.


Fig. 17. Mean drag coefficients as a function of amplitude. Solid lines are given by Eqs. (7) and (8) and dashed lines are given by Eqs. (5) and (6). •, Delta Flume test campaign (DHL03) as appear in Huera-Huarte (2006).
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